arXiv:gr-qc/0612063v1 11 Dec 2006

The Newman-Janis Algorithm, Rotating Solutions and Einstein-Born-Infeld Black Holes

Diego Julio Cirilo Lombardo[*][†]
141980 Dubna, Russia

February 4, 2008

Abstract

A new metric is obtained by applying a complex coordinate transformation to the static metric of the self-gravitating Born-Infeld monopole. The behaviour of the new metric is typical of a rotating charged source, but this source is not a spherically symmetric Born-Infeld monopole with rotation. We show that the structure of the energy-momentum tensor obtained with this new metric does not correspond to the typical structure of the energy momentum tensor of Einstein-Born-Infeld theory induced by a rotating spherically symmetric source. This also show, that the complex coordinate transformations have the interpretation given by Newman and Janis only in space-time solutions with linear sources.

PACS numbers:02.30.Dk, 04.20.Cv, 04.20.Jb

1 Introduction

There is a surprising connection between non-rotating and rotating space-time solutions of Einstein theory discovered firstly by Kerr [1], and analyzed and interpreted by Newman and Janis [2] obtained by applying a complex coordinate transformation. Using this method it was possible to

---

[*] diego77jcl@yahoo.com
[†] diego@thsun1.jinr.ru

construct the Kerr solution from the Schwarzschild metric [1] and also obtain from the Reissner-Nordström metric its rotating counterpart, the Kerr-Newman solution [3,4]. Quite recently, the rotating Bañados-Teitelbaum-Zanelli (BTZ) black hole solution [5] and the gravitational field of a rotating global monopole [6] were derived from their non-rotating counterparts by using a complex transformation as was pointed out by Janis and Newman.

In this paper, we perform a complex coordinate transformation into the static spherically symmetric metric of a Born-Infeld monopole (in the form discussed by Newman in [7]) to determine if the obtained new metric (that has rotating and charged features) coincides with the metric obtained directly of a Born-Infeld monopole with rotation. To this end, we compare (in the same tetrad basis) the structure of the energy-momentum tensor from the new metric (obtained with the Newman-Janis algorithm) with the typical structure of the energy-momentum tensor of Born-Infeld from a rotating charged source (obtained from the Einstein-Born-Infeld equations). One can see that both the structures of the energy-momentum tensors are completely *different*. In this manner, we also show that the complex transformation has the interpretation given by Newman et al.[2,3,4,7] only in spacetime solutions with linear sources.

The plan of this paper is as follows: in Section 2 we give a short introduction to the Born-Infeld theory: properties, principal features, and static spherically symmetric solutions in this non-linear electrodynamic theory. In Section 3 the Newman-Janis Algorithm (NJA), in the same manner that was originally given by Newman and Janis [2,3,4], is applied to a static spherically symmetric space-time of the Born-Infeld (electric) monopole. Section 4 presents the analysis of the energy-momentum tensor and the main result: the application of the NJA is correct *only* when the origin of the static "seed" metric is a "linear" source. Finally, the conclusion and comments of the results are presented in Section 5.

2 The Born-Infeld theory

A non-linear theory of electrodynamics which keeps making appearances again and again in many differents contexts within modern theoretical physics is the Born-Infeld theory [11]. Among its many special properties is an exact SO(2) electric-magnetic duality invariance. The Lagrangian density describing Born-Infeld theory (in arbitrary space-time dimensions) is

$$\mathcal{L}_{BI} = \sqrt{g} L_{BI} = \frac{4\pi}{b^2} \left\{ \sqrt{g} - \sqrt{|\det(g_{\mu\nu} + bF_{\mu\nu})|} \right\} \tag{1}$$

where b is a fundamental parameter of the theory with field dimensions . In open superstring theory [21], for example, loop calculations lead to this Lagrangian with $b = 2\pi\alpha'$ ($\alpha' \equiv$ inverse of the string tension) . In four space-time dimensions the determinant in (2) can be expanded out to give

$$L_{BI} = \frac{4\pi}{b^2} \left\{ 1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{2} b^2 F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{16} b^4 \left(F_{\mu\nu} \widetilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \right)^2} \right\}$$

which coincides with the usual Maxwell Lagrangian in the weak field limit.

It is useful to define the second rank tensor $P^{\mu\nu}$ by

$$P^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial L_{BI}}{\partial F_{\mu\nu}} = \frac{F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} b^2 \left(F_{\mu\nu} \widetilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \right) \widetilde{F}^{\mu\nu}}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{2} b^2 F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{16} b^4 \left(F_{\mu\nu} \widetilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \right)^2}} \tag{2}$$

(so that $P^{\mu\nu} \approx F^{\mu\nu}$ for weak fields) satisfying the electromagnetic equations of motion

$$\nabla_\mu P^{\mu\nu} = 0 \tag{3}$$

which are highly non linear in $F_{\mu\nu}$. The energy-momentum tensor can be written as

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left\{ \frac{F_\mu{}^\lambda F_{\nu\lambda} + \frac{1}{b^2} \left[\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{2} b^2 F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{16} b^4 \left(F_{\mu\nu} \widetilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \right)^2} - 1 - \frac{1}{2} b^2 F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right] g_{\mu\nu}}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{2} b^2 F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{16} b^4 \left(F_{\mu\nu} \widetilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \right)^2}} \right\} \tag{4}$$

Although it is by no means obvious, it can be verified that equations (3)-(5) are invariant under electric-magnetic duality $F \longleftrightarrow *G$. We can show that the SO(2) structure of the Born-Infeld theory is more easily seen in quaternionic form [12,20]

$$\frac{1}{R} \left(\sigma_0 + i\sigma_2 \overline{\mathbb{P}} \right) L = \mathbb{L}$$

$$\frac{R}{\left(1+\overline{\mathbb{P}}^2\right)}\left(\sigma_0 - i\sigma_2\overline{\mathbb{P}}\right)\mathbb{L} = L$$

$$\overline{\mathbb{P}} \equiv \frac{\mathbb{P}}{b}$$

where we have been defined

$$L = F - i\sigma_2\widetilde{F}$$

$$\mathbb{L} = P - i\sigma_2\widetilde{P}$$

$$R = \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{2}b^2 F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{16}b^2\left(F_{\mu\nu}\widetilde{F}^{\mu\nu}\right)^2}$$

the pseudoescalar of the electromagnetic tensor $F^{\mu\nu}$

$$\mathbb{P} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}\widetilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$$

and σ_0 , σ_2 the well know Pauli matrix.

In flat space, and for purely electric configurations, the Lagrangian (2) reduces to

$$L_{BI} = \frac{4\pi}{b^2}\left\{1 - \sqrt{1 + b^2\overrightarrow{E}^2}\right\}$$

so there is an upper bound on the electric field strength $\overrightarrow{E}$

$$\left|\overrightarrow{E}\right| \leq \frac{1}{b} \tag{5}$$

Due to a point charge the field is

$$E_r = \frac{Q}{\sqrt{r^4 + b^2Q^2}}$$

and so achieves the bound (6) at $r = 0$. The total self-energy of the point charge is thus

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int d^3x\ T_{00} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int d^3x\,\frac{1}{b^2r^2}\left(\sqrt{r^4 + b^2Q^2} - r^2\right)$$

Integrating by parts gives a standard elliptic integral

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{2Q}{3}\int_0^\infty \frac{dr}{\sqrt{r^4 + b^2Q^2}} = \frac{(\pi Q)^{3/2}}{3\sqrt{b}\left[\Gamma\left(3/4\right)\right]^2}$$

which is finite (for simplicity, Q and b are taken to be positive here). Thus, the Born-Infeld theory succeeded in its original goal of providing a model for point charges with finite self-energy. Note that in the limit $b \to 0$, the Maxwell theory is reproduced and the self-energy diverges.

Now consider static spherically symmetric black holes in this theory. Using electric-magnetic duality, there is no loss of generality in considering only electrically charged black holes. The solution is

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{2GM\left(r\right)}{r}\right)dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2GM\left(r\right)}{r}\right)^{-1}dr^2 + r^2\left(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta\ d\varphi^2\right)$$

$$P_{tr} = \tfrac{Q}{r^2} \qquad F_{tr} = \tfrac{Q}{\sqrt{r^4+b^2Q^2}}$$

where the function $M(r)$ satisfies

$$M'(r) = \frac{1}{b^2}\left(\sqrt{r^4 + b^2Q^2} - r^2\right) \tag{6}$$

and ´denotes differentiation with respect to r. The mass M is given by

$$\mathrm{M} = \lim_{r\to\infty} M\left(r\right)$$

and hence

$$M\left(r\right) = \mathrm{M} - \frac{1}{b^2}\int_r^\infty dx\ \left(\sqrt{x^4 + b^2Q^2} - x^2\right)$$

which is a monotonically increasing function of r. The horizons are given by the roots of the equation $r = 2M(r)$ and so the number of horizons will be determined by $M(0)$ and $M'(0)$; $M(0)$ depends on the self-energy of the electromagnetic field, the integral being the same as for the point charge in flat space

$$M\left(0\right) = \mathrm{M} - \frac{\left(\pi Q\right)^{3/2}}{3\sqrt{b}\left[\Gamma\left(3/4\right)\right]^2} = \mathrm{M} - \mathcal{E}$$

and so $M(0)$ may be interpreted as the binding energy. From (7) one has

$$M'(0) = \frac{Q}{b}$$

For $M(0) > 0$ there is precisely one non-degenerate horizon. If $M(0) = 0$, then there is one non-degenerate horizon for $Q > b/2$ and none otherwise. The case $M(0) < 0$ is similar to Reissner-Nordström, with either no horizons, one degenerate horizon or two non-degenerate horizons, depending on the relative magnitudes of M, Q, and b. Note that the Reissner-Nordström solution is recovered in the limit $b \to 0$ in which $M(0) \to -\infty$.

3 The NJA and the rotating charged non linear solution

The static solution of self-gravitating monopole in the electromagnetic Born-Infeld theory was investigated by B. Hoffmann [8]. He showed that the gravitational field is described by a static and spherically symmetric metric with a non-linear electromagnetic source. The space-time metric produced by the static charged nonlinear source is given by [8,9]

$$ds^2 = -\left(1-\frac{2GM}{r}+\frac{Q^2\left(r\right)}{r^2}\right)dt^2+\left(1-\frac{2GM}{r}+\frac{Q^2\left(r\right)}{r^2}\right)^{-1}dr^2+r^2\left(d\theta^2+\sin^2\theta\ d\varphi^2\right) \tag{7}$$

where

$$c=1$$

$$M\equiv M_{Schwarzschild}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q^2\left(r\right) &\equiv Q^2\left\{2\left[\frac{\overline{r}^4}{3}-\frac{\sqrt{1+\overline{r}^4}}{3}\overline{r}^2+\frac{2}{3}\overline{r}\left(-1\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}F\left[Arc\sin\left[\left(-1\right)^{\frac{3}{4}}\overline{r}\right],-1\right]\right]\right\} \\ &= Q^2\left\{2\left[\frac{\overline{r}^4}{3}-\frac{\sqrt{1+\overline{r}^4}}{3}\overline{r}^2-\frac{2}{3}\overline{r}^2\ {}_2F_1\left[1/4,1/2,5/4,-\overline{r}^4\right]\right]\right\} \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Where we have been utilized the well-know relation between the incomplete elliptic function of first class F and the Gauss hypergeometric[10] function ${}_2F_1$

$$-\left(-1\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}F\left[Arc\sin\left[\left(-1\right)^{\frac{3}{4}}\overline{r}\right],-1\right]=\overline{r}\ \ {}_2F_1\left[1/4,1/2,5/4,-\overline{r}^4\right] \tag{9}$$

and

$$\overline{r}\equiv\frac{r}{r_0}$$

where r_0 is the Born-Infeld radius related to the non-linear parameter b in the following form [11]

$$b=\frac{Q}{r_0^2}.$$

To apply the NJA to the static Born-Infeld monopole, we follow the steps that were originally given by Newman and Janis. In the Eddington-Finkelstein type coordinates this metric can be rewritten as

$$ds^2 = -\left(\frac{\Delta}{r^2}\right) du^2 - 2du\ dr + r^2\left(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta\ d\varphi^2\right) \tag{10}$$

where the new variable u is defined by

$$u = t - r - f\left(r\right) \tag{11}$$

and

$$\Delta = r^2 - 2GMr + Q^2\left(r\right) \tag{12}$$

From (11) we can read the contravariant component of the metric, namely,

$$g^{00} = 0;\quad g^{01} = -1;\quad g^{11} = \left(1 - \tfrac{2GM}{r} + \tfrac{Q^2(r)}{r^2}\right);\quad g^{22} = \tfrac{1}{r^2};\quad g^{33} = \tfrac{1}{r^2\sin^2\theta} \tag{13}$$

which can be written in a different form

$$g^{\mu\nu} = -l^\mu n^v - l^\nu n^\mu + m^\mu \overline{m}^\nu + m^\nu \overline{m}^\mu \tag{14}$$

where the null tetrad vectors are

$$\begin{aligned} l^\mu &= \delta_1^\mu & n^\mu &= \delta_0^\mu - \tfrac{1}{2}\left(1 - \tfrac{2GM}{r} + \tfrac{Q^2(r)}{r^2}\right)\delta_1^\mu \\ m^\mu &= \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}r}\left(\delta_2^\mu + \tfrac{i}{\sin\theta}\delta_3^\mu\right) & \overline{m}^\mu &= \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}r}\left(\delta_2^\mu - \tfrac{i}{\sin\theta}\delta_3^\mu\right) \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

with $\overline{m}^\mu$ being the complex conjugate of m^μ.

Now, following Newman et al. [2,3,4,7], the radial coordinate is allowed to be complex and the tetrad null vectors can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} l^\mu &= \delta_1^\mu & n^\mu &= \delta_0^\mu - \tfrac{1}{2}\left[1 - GM\left(\tfrac{1}{r} + \tfrac{1}{r^*}\right) + \tfrac{Q^2(r,r^*)}{rr^*}\right]\delta_1^\mu \\ m^\mu &= \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}r^*}\left(\delta_2^\mu + \tfrac{i}{\sin\theta}\delta_3^\mu\right) & \overline{m}^\mu &= \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}r}\left(\delta_2^\mu - \tfrac{i}{\sin\theta}\delta_3^\mu\right) \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where r^* is the complex conjugate of r and

$$\frac{Q^2\left(r,r^*\right)}{rr^*} = \frac{Q^2}{rr^*}\left\{2\left[\frac{\overline{\rho}^4}{3} - \frac{\sqrt{1+\overline{\rho}^4}}{3}\overline{\rho}^2 - \frac{2}{3}\overline{\rho}^2\ \ {}_2F_1\left[1/4, 1/2, 5/4, -\overline{\rho}^4\right]\right]\right\} \tag{17}$$

with

$$\overline{\rho}^2 \equiv \frac{rr^*}{r_0^2}$$

The next step is to perform the complex coordinate transformation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{u} = u - ia\cos\theta, & & \widetilde{r} = r + ia\cos\theta \\ \widetilde{\theta} = \theta, & and & \widetilde{\varphi} = \varphi \end{array} \tag{18}$$

on l^μ n^μ and m^μ. Replacing (19) in (17) we have

$$\widetilde{l}^\mu = \delta_1^\mu \qquad \widetilde{n}^\mu = \delta_0^\mu - \tfrac{1}{2}\left[1 - GM\left(\tfrac{\widetilde{r}}{\widetilde{r}^2 + a^2\cos^2\widetilde{\theta}}\right) + \tfrac{Q^2(r,r^*)}{\widetilde{r}^2 + a^2\cos^2\widetilde{\theta}}\right]\delta_1^\mu$$

$$\widetilde{m}^\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(\widetilde{r} + ia\cos\widetilde{\theta})}\left(ia\sin\widetilde{\theta}\,(\delta_0^\mu - \delta_1^\mu) + \delta_2^\mu + \frac{i}{\sin\widetilde{\theta}}\delta_3^\mu\right)$$

$$\overline{\widetilde{m}}^\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(\widetilde{r} - ia\cos\widetilde{\theta})}\left(-ia\sin\widetilde{\theta}\,(\delta_0^\mu - \delta_1^\mu) + \delta_2^\mu - \frac{i}{\sin\widetilde{\theta}}\delta_3^\mu\right) \tag{19}$$

where $\overline{\widetilde{m}}^\mu$ is defined as the complex conjugate of $\widetilde{m}^\mu$.

The complex transformation which has been made to the vectors of the tetrad null, finally gives

$$\widetilde{g}^{\mu\nu} = -\widetilde{l}^\mu\widetilde{n}^v - \widetilde{l}^\nu\widetilde{n}^\mu + \widetilde{m}^\mu\,\overline{\widetilde{m}}^\nu + \widetilde{m}^\nu\overline{\widetilde{m}}^\mu \tag{20}$$

Now, looking at the metric (21), the question is the following: " is expression (21) the metric of a rotating spherically simmetric Born-Infeld monopole with angular momentum per unit of mass a?". From (21) we can read off the contravariant and covariant components of the metric. The covariant components of the metric (21) in terms of the coordinates $\left(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{r}, \widetilde{\theta}, \widetilde{\varphi}\right)$ read

$$\widetilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{a^2\sin^2\widetilde{\theta} - \Delta_{new}}{\widetilde{r}^2 + a^2\cos^2\widetilde{\theta}} & -1 & 0 & \frac{a\sin^2\widetilde{\theta}\left[\Delta_{new} - \left(\widetilde{r}^2 + a^2\right)\right]}{\widetilde{r}^2 + a^2\cos^2\widetilde{\theta}} \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & a\sin^2\widetilde{\theta} \\ 0 & 0 & \widetilde{r}^2 + a^2\cos^2\widetilde{\theta} & 0 \\ \frac{a\sin^2\widetilde{\theta}\left[\Delta_{new} - \left(\widetilde{r}^2 + a^2\right)\right]}{\widetilde{r}^2 + a^2\cos^2\widetilde{\theta}} & a\sin^2\widetilde{\theta} & 0 & \frac{\sin^2\widetilde{\theta}\left[\left(\widetilde{r}^2 + a^2\right)^2 - \Delta_{new}a^2\sin^2\widetilde{\theta}\right]}{\widetilde{r}^2 + a^2\cos^2\widetilde{\theta}} \end{bmatrix} \tag{21}$$

$$\Delta_{new} = r^2 - 2GMr + Q^2\left(r, r^*\right) + a^2 \tag{22}$$

The metric given in (22) is not in the appropiate form to investigate if it corresponds to a rotating charged source. One knows that the expansion in power series up to the first order in r/a (slowly rotating: $r/a << 1$) of the expression within the brackets of the term of charge into Δ_{new} is [10,11,12]:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{Q^2\left(r,r^*\right)}{rr^*} = \frac{Q^2}{rr^*}\left\{2\left[\frac{\overline{\rho}^4}{3} - \frac{\sqrt{1+\overline{\rho}^4}}{3}\overline{\rho}^2 - \frac{2}{3}\overline{\rho}^2 \;\; {}_2F_1\left[1/4,1/2,5/4,-\overline{\rho}^4\right]\right]\right\} \simeq \\ \simeq \frac{Q^2}{rr^*}\left\{2\left[\frac{\overline{r}^4}{3} - \frac{\sqrt{1+\overline{r}^4}}{3}\overline{r}^2 - \frac{2}{3}\overline{r}^2 \;\; {}_2F_1\left[1/4,1/2,5/4,-\overline{r}^4\right]\right]\right\}\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

one can see from the last expansion that Δ_{new} is approximately

$$\Delta_{new} \simeq r^2 - 2GMr + Q^2\left(r\right) + a^2 \tag{24}$$

The condition that the function will depend only on the radius r , in addition to simplify the study of this type of complex transformations, is need for the next step: to pass from the Kerr to the Boyer and Lindquist coordinates [13] in which the rotating feature of the geometry is easy to see (preferable frame). We might want to further transform it into one written in the Boyer and Lindquist coordinates, with the following transformations[13,14], which leaves invariant the block u,φ of $\widetilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$(dropped subname to Δ_{new}):

$$\begin{aligned} d\widetilde{u} &= dt - \left(\frac{r^2+a^2}{\Delta}\right)dr \\ d\widetilde{\varphi} &= d\varphi - \frac{a}{\Delta}\, dr \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Using these transformations, the metric given in (22) turns into

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{a^2\sin^2\theta - \Delta}{\rho^2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{a\sin^2\theta\left[\Delta-\left(r^2+a^2\right)\right]}{\rho^2} \\ 0 & \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \rho^2 & 0 \\ \frac{a\sin^2\theta\left[\Delta-\left(r^2+a^2\right)\right]}{\rho^2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{\sin^2\theta\left[\left(r^2+a^2\right)^2 - \Delta a^2\sin^2\theta\right]}{\rho^2} \end{bmatrix} \tag{26}$$

Restoring explicitly the value of the Δ function (in the limit indicated previously)

$$\Delta \simeq r^2 - 2GMr + Q^2\left(r\right) + a^2 \tag{27}$$

We get the metric of static Born-Infeld monopole obtained by Hoffmann in [8] if we set $a = 0$ in the metric (27). In the same way, if we set $Q = 0$ (with $a \neq 0$),we get the Kerr solution in the Boyer-Lindquist coordinates [13,14]. One can see that the metric (27) has the behaviour of a metric produced from a rotating charged source, but we show in the next section that the source of (27) is not a Born-Infeld monopole with rotation.

4 Analysis of the energy-momentum tensor:

One can see that applying complex transformations to the tetrad l, n, m (complex), we can pass from the static system to a stationary solution of Einstein equations. Will this new stationary solution be able to represent the metric of a rotating spherical Born-Infeld monopole?. To do this, we have to compare the structure of energy-momentum tensor from the new metric with the structure of the energy-momentum tensor of Born-Infeld fields obtained directly from a rotating charged source. Both the energy-momentum tensors will be in the same basis. The new metric obtained by applying the complex algorithm to the static problem analyzed by Hoffmann corresponds, in the above aproximation, to:

$$\Delta_{rot} \simeq r^2 + a^2 - 2\overline{M}\,(r)\;\; r \qquad\qquad (Geometrized Units,\ [14]) \tag{28}$$

where the function $\overline{M}(r)$ is:

$$\overline{M}\,(r) \equiv M_s - \frac{Q^2}{r}\left\{\frac{\overline{r}^4}{3} - \frac{\sqrt{1+\overline{r}^4}}{3}\overline{r}^2 + \frac{2}{3}\overline{r}\,(-1)^{\frac{1}{4}}\,F\left[Arc\sin\left[(-1)^{\frac{3}{4}}\,\overline{r}\right], -1\right]\right\} \tag{29}$$

and

$$M_s = Schwarzschild\ mass\,(ADM)$$

$$\overline{r} \equiv \frac{r}{r_0}$$

where we put by convenience

$$\Delta_{rot} = r^2 + a^2 - 2\ f\,(r) \tag{30}$$

where[1]

$$f\,(r) = \overline{M}\,(r)\;\; r \tag{31}$$

---

[1] Note that for the Kerr-Newman black hole: $f\,(r) = mr - \frac{Q^2}{2}$

Looking at the metric

$$g_{\mu\nu} = n_\mu n_\nu + m_\mu m_\nu + l_\mu l_\nu - u_\mu u_\nu \tag{32}$$

where the vectors of the tetrad are

$$\begin{aligned}
n_\mu &= \sqrt{\Sigma}\,(0,0,1,0) \\
l_\mu &= \sqrt{\tfrac{\Sigma}{\Delta}}\,(0,1,0,0) \\
u_\mu &= -\sqrt{\tfrac{\Delta}{\Sigma}}\left(1,0,0,-a\sin^2\theta\right) \\
m_\mu &= \tfrac{\sin\theta}{\sqrt{\Sigma}}\left(a,0,0,-\left(r^2+a^2\right)\right) \quad ,
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

t, r, θ, φ being the Boyer and Lindquist coordinates. If we now put in the Einstein equations [18] everything we have analyzed, in function of $f(r)$ we can define [15,16,17]

$$\begin{gathered}
\rho^2 \equiv \Sigma \\
D \equiv -\frac{f''(r)^2}{\rho^2} \\
G \equiv \frac{f'(r)\,r - f(r)}{\rho^4} = \frac{r^2}{\rho^4}\partial_r\left(\frac{f(r)}{r}\right)
\end{gathered} \tag{34}$$

then the energy-momentum tensor takes the form [15,16,17]

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{8\pi}\left[\left(D+2G\right)g_{\mu\nu} - \left(D+4G\right)\left(l_\mu l_\nu - u_\mu u_\nu\right)\right] \tag{35}$$

$$\Longrightarrow T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{8\pi}\begin{bmatrix} 2G & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2G & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2G+D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2G+D \end{bmatrix} \tag{36}$$

One can see that the $T_{\mu\nu}$ takes the same form as $T_{\mu\nu}$ of an anisotropic fluid, being

$$\rho = \tfrac{1}{8\pi}2G, \quad p_{rad} = -\tfrac{1}{8\pi}2G, \quad p_{tg} = \tfrac{1}{8\pi}\left(2G+D\right) \tag{37}$$

where

$$\begin{gathered}
D = -f''(r)\frac{1}{\rho^2} = \frac{2Q^2}{\rho^2 r_o^2}\left[2\overline{r}^2 - \frac{1+2\overline{r}^4}{\sqrt{1+\overline{r}^4}}\right] \\
G = \left(f'(r)r - f\right)\frac{1}{\rho^4} = \frac{\overline{r}^2 Q^2}{\rho^4}\left[\sqrt{1+\overline{r}^4} - \overline{r}^2\right]
\end{gathered} \tag{38}$$

(being $Q^2 = b^2 r_0^4$)

From (35)-(39) we finally obtain

$$T_{00} = \frac{b^2}{4\pi}\left[\frac{r^2}{\rho^4}\left(\sqrt{r_0^4 + r^4} - r^2\right)\right] = -T_{22} \tag{39}$$

$$T_{33} = \frac{b^2}{4\pi}\left\{\frac{r^2}{\rho^4}\left(\sqrt{r_0^4 + r^4} - r^2\right) + \frac{1}{\rho^2}\left[2r^2 - \frac{r_0^4 + 2r^4}{\sqrt{r_0^4 + r^4}}\right]\right\} = T_{11}$$

On the other hand, the structure of the metric energy-momentum tensor of Born-Infeld, which was constructed from the electromagnetic fields of a spherically symmetric source with rotation, in the same tetrad (34) is [12, Appendix]:

$$\begin{aligned} -T_{00} = T_{22} &= \frac{b^2}{4\pi}\left(1 - \widetilde{u}\right) \\ T_{11} = T_{33} &= \frac{b^2}{4\pi}\left(1 - \widetilde{u}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

with :

$$\widetilde{u} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{b^2 + F_{31}^2}{b^2 - F_{20}^2}}$$

Note that $\widetilde{u}$ depends on the invariants of the electromagnetic tensor F_{ab} , and in the tetrad (34)(orthonormal frame) the relations between the components of the energy-momentum tensor of Born-Infeld are always (41). From here we see that

$$\widetilde{u} = \frac{T_{00}}{T_{33}} = \frac{r^4}{\rho^4}\left(\sqrt{\frac{r_0^4}{r^4} + 1} - 1\right)\frac{1}{\left[\frac{r^4}{\rho^4}\left(\sqrt{\frac{r_0^4}{r^4} + 1} - 1\right) + \frac{r^2}{\rho^2}\left(2 - \frac{\frac{r_0^4}{r^4} + 2}{\sqrt{\frac{r_0^4}{r^4} + 1}}\right)\right]} \tag{41}$$

Let us note that if $\rho = r \Rightarrow \widetilde{u}_{(r=\rho)} = \sqrt{\frac{r_0^4}{r^4} + 1} \equiv \widetilde{u}_{est}$ coincides with $\widetilde{u}$ of the static case analyzed by Hoffmann [8,9] and the typical structure of the Born-Infeld energy-momentum tensor (41) is automatically satisfied with $\widetilde{u}_{est}$ given above, but for the new case (obtained by means of the Newman-Janis algorithm), it is impossible to reconstruct the energy-momentum tensor keeping the structure (41). For example,

$$\Rightarrow T_{00} \neq \frac{b^2}{4\pi}\left(\widetilde{u} - 1\right) \tag{42}$$

with the function $\widetilde{u}$ given by expression (42). In this manner we have demonstrated that the new metric (27) originating from the complex transformation, does not correspond to the metric of a rotating spherically symmetric Born-Infeld monopole.

5 Conclusions:

In this work, we have show that complex transformations, in the form pointed out by Newman and Janis, for to obtain rotating solutions from the static counterparts are only possible if the theory (source of the curvature) is linear.

The limit as $a \rightarrow 0$ is still correct from the point of view of the obtained solution, but the structure of the energy-momentum tensor for the metric obtained by the complex Newman's transformation is completely different to the structure of the energy-momentum tensor obtained directly from the Einstein-Born-Infeld equations for the space-time of a spherical monopole with rotation, both structures are in the same rotating frame (basis tetrad) .

We have to analyze in more detail this type of complex transformations for to see if it is possible to modify them for to include non-linear electromagnetic sources in a next paper. The analysis of the Hamilton-Jacobi equations[18] shows to us that if $\Delta = \Delta[f\,(r, \theta)]$ with $f\,(r, \theta)$ an trascendental function of r and θ, the dynamic problem is non-integrable (separability condition for a Kerr type problem).

It is not difficult to see that the absence of null congruences in the geometry, thus indicating that the metric is no longer Type D of the Petrov classification [3,4,19].

6 Appendix:

We will find the components of the energy-momentum tensor of Born-Infeld in the rotating system. For this purpose, we have to take the metric energy-momentum tensor

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}}\frac{\delta\left(\sqrt{|g|}\mathcal{L}_{BI}\right)}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} = -\,\frac{1}{2}\,T_{\mu\nu} \tag{43}$$

which is obtained by means of the standard variational procedure (Einstein-Born-Infeld equations)[8,11,12]. In the symmetrized form[9] T^a_b for a tetrad is

$$T^a{}_b = \delta^a{}_b \mathcal{L}_{BI} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{BI}}{\partial S} F^a{}_l F^l{}_b - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{BI}}{\partial P} F^a_l \widetilde{F}^l{}_b \tag{44}$$

where the invariants (scalar and pseudoscalar) of the electromagnetic fields are

$$S \equiv -\frac{1}{4} F_{ab} F^{ab} = \mathcal{L}_M \tag{45}$$

$$P \equiv -\frac{1}{4} F_{ab} \widetilde{F}^{ab} \tag{46}$$

with the conventions

$$\widetilde{F}^{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{abcd} F_{cd}$$

$$a, b, c.... \equiv Tetrad \quad indexes$$

For the tensor of electromagnetic fields F , we propose the form similar to the Boyer and Lindquist generalization to the Kerr-Newman problem (axially symmetric metric), for example:

$$F = F_{20} dr \wedge \left[dt - a \sin^2 \theta d\varphi\right] + F_{31} \sin \vartheta d\theta \wedge \left[\left(r^2 + a^2\right) d\varphi - a dt\right]$$

that, in the tetrad system (that does not depend on the explicit form of ω^a), we simply have

$$F = F_{20} \omega^2 \wedge \omega^0 + F_{31} \omega^3 \wedge \omega^1 \tag{47}$$

Let us note that F_{20} and F_{31} are the only components of the fields in the tetrad (rotating geometry) and the energy-momentum tensor takes the diagonal form. Now

$$\mathcal{L}_{BI} = \frac{b^2}{4\pi} \left(1 - \sqrt[2]{1 - \frac{2S}{b^2} - \frac{P^2}{b^4}}\right) \qquad \Longrightarrow$$

in the orthonormal frame (tetrad)

$$S = -\frac{1}{2} \left[(F_{31})^2 - (F_{02})^2\right]$$

$$P = \frac{1}{2} \left(F_{13} F_{02}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{BI} = \frac{b^2}{4\pi} \left(1 - \mathrm{R}\right)$$

where we defined

$$\mathrm{R} = \sqrt[2]{1 + \frac{\left(F_{13}\right)^2 - \left(F_{02}\right)^2}{b^2} - \frac{(F_{13}F_{02})}{b^4}} = \sqrt[2]{\left[1 + \left(\frac{F_{13}}{b}\right)^2\right]\left[1 - \left(\frac{F_{02}}{b}\right)^2\right]} \tag{48}$$

Finally, looking at (45), the components from T^a_b are

$$\begin{aligned}
T^{0}_{..0} &= \mathcal{L}_{BI} - \frac{\left(F_{20}\right)^2}{4\pi\mathrm{R}} - \frac{1}{4\pi\mathrm{R}}\left(\frac{P}{b}\right)^2 \\
T^{1}_{..1} &= \mathcal{L}_{BI} + \frac{\left(F_{31}\right)^2}{4\pi\mathrm{R}} - \frac{1}{4\pi\mathrm{R}}\left(\frac{P}{b}\right)^2 \\
T^{2}_{..2} &= \mathcal{L}_{BI} - \frac{\left(F_{20}\right)^2}{4\pi\mathrm{R}} - \frac{1}{4\pi\mathrm{R}}\left(\frac{P}{b}\right)^2 \\
T^{3}_{..3} &= \mathcal{L}_{BI} + \frac{\left(F_{31}\right)^2}{4\pi\mathrm{R}} - \frac{1}{4\pi\mathrm{R}}\left(\frac{P}{b}\right)^2
\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

From here we can easily see the typical structure of the energy-momentum tensor of Born Infeld in the tetrad that we used in the analysis of Sect. 4 [8,11,12]

$$\begin{aligned}
-T_{00} = T_{22} &= \frac{b^2}{4\pi}\left(1 - \widetilde{u}\right) \\
T_{11} = T_{33} &= \frac{b^2}{4\pi}\left(1 - \widetilde{u}^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

where we defined the invariant quantity

$$\widetilde{u} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{(\overline{F}_{31})^2 + 1}{1 - \left(\overline{F}_{02}\right)^2}}$$

with

$$\overline{F}_{ab} \equiv \frac{F_{ab}}{b}$$

7 Acknowledgements:

The author is grateful to Professors Norma Sánchez, Yu. Stepanovsky, Mrs. G. Sadukovskaya and the Professor G. Afanasiev. Thanks are also due to the Directorate of Joint Institute of Nuclear Research (JINR), and all people of the Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics for their hospitality and support.

8 References:

[1] R. P. Kerr, Phys. Rev. Letters **11**, 237 (1963).

[2] E. T. Newman and A. I. Janis, J. Math. Phys. **6**, 915 (1965).

[3] E. T. Newman, E. Couch, K. Chinnapared, A. Exton, A. Prakash, and R. Torrence, J. Math. Phys. **6**, 918 (1965).

[4] A. I. Janis and E. T. Newman, J. Math. Phys. **6**, 902 (1965).

[5] Hongsu Kim, Phys. Rev. D **59,** 064002 (1999); M. Bañados, C. Teitelboim and J. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**,1849 (1992).

[6] R. M. Texeira Filho and V. B. Bezerra, Phys. Rev. D **64,** 084009 (2001); M. Barriola and A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 341 (1989).

[7] E. T. Newman, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 104005 (2002); S. P. Drake and P. Szekeres, gr-qc/9807001; S. Yazadjiev, Gen. Rel. Grav. **32**, 2345 (2000).

[8] B. Hoffmann, Phys. Rev. **47**, 877 (1935).

[9] G. W. Gibbons and D. A. Rasheed, Nucl. Phys. B **454**, 185 (1995); G. W. Gibbons and D. A. Rasheed, Nucl. Phys. B **476**, 515 (1996); D. Chruscinski, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 105007 (2000); D. Sorokin, hep-th/9709190 .

[10] A S. Prudnikov, Yu. Brychov and O. Marichev, *Integrals and Series* (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1986).

[11] M. Born and L. Infeld, Proc. R. Soc.(London) **144,** 425 (1934).

[12] D. J. Cirilo Lombardo, Problems of Atomic Science and Technology **#6,** pp.71-73, (2001); D.J. Cirilo Lombardo, Master thesis , Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentine, 2001.

[13] R. H. Boyer and R. W. Lindquist, J. Math. Phys.**8**, 265 (1967).

[14] C. Misner, K. Thorne and J. A. Weeler, *Gravitation* (Freeman and Company, New York, 1973).

[15] A. Ya. Burinskii, hep-th/0008129; see also in *Noncommutative Structures in Mathematics and Physics*, Edited by S. Duplij and J. Wess (Kluwer Publishers, The Netherlands, 2001), p.181.

[16] A. Ya. Burinskii, JETP lett. **39**, 193 (1974).

[17] A. Ya. Burinskii et al., Phys. Rev. D **65**, 064039 (2002).

[18] B Carter, Phys. Rev. **174**, 1559 (1968); S. Chandrasekhar, *The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes* (Oxford University Press, New York,1992).

[19] H. Salazar, A. García and J. Plebánski, J. Math. Phys. **28**, 2171 (1987).

[20] Yu. Stepanovsky, Electro-Magnitie Iavlenia **3**, Tom 1, 427 (1988).

[21] R. Metsaev and A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. B **293**, 385 (1987).